

Document Analysis - OPVL Rubric

Origin of the Document

2 marks	1 mark	0 marks
In one or two sentences the origin of the document is clearly stated and in doing so answers the following questions: • What type of document is it? • When and where was it produced? • Who produced it?	In one or two sentences the origin of the document is stated; however not all of the following questions are clearly and/or accurately addressed: • What type of document is it? • When and where was it produced? • Who produced it?	The origin of the document is unclear/incorrect or not included

Purpose of the Document

2 marks	1 mark	0 marks
In one or two sentences the purpose of the document is clearly stated and in doing so answers the following questions: • Who was the intended audience? • For what purposes was the document written?	In one or two sentences the purpose of the document is stated; however not all of the following questions are clearly and/or accurately addressed: • Who was the intended audience? • For what purposes was the document written?	The purpose of the document is unclear/incorrect/not included

Value of the Document

5-4	3-2.5	2-0
The value of the document with respect to the origins and purpose is relevant, insightful and clearly explained in a well-written paragraph. Specific evidence from the document is provided and explained in support of your conclusions. Evidence of insight and understanding as more than one value is convincingly proven.	The value of the document with respect to the origins and purpose is relevant and somewhat explained in a well-written paragraph. Some improvement needed with respect to providing and/or explaining specific evidence from the document to support your conclusions. Delve deeper – another well proven value for historians?	The value of the document with respect to the origins and purpose is unclear/inaccurate. Paragraph structure needs improvement. The value is not included.

Limitations of the Document

5-4	3-2.5	2-0
The limitations of the document with respect to the origins and purpose are relevant and clearly explained in a well-written paragraph. Specific reference to the document is provided and explained in support of your conclusions. Evidence of insight and understanding as more than one limitation is convincingly proven.	The limitations of the document with respect to the origins and purpose are relevant and somewhat explained in a clearly written paragraph. Some improvement needed with respect to providing and/or explaining specific references to the document. Delve deeper – another limitation for historians?	The limitations of the document with respect to the origins and purpose are attempted, but unclear and/or inaccurate. Paragraph structure needs improvement. Limitations were not included.